Occlusal Vertical Dimension and Amelogenesis imperfecta: Systematic Review of the Literature
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Abstract

Statement of problem: Amelogenesis imperfecta (AI) is a genetic disorder that causes defective enamel development in both primary and permanent dentition. Significant tooth structure damage often results in occlusal disharmony affecting the patient’s occlusal vertical dimension (OVD).

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to review the current data in OVD evaluation and its reestablishment during the treatment of amelogenesis imperfecta patients.

Method and Materials: Relevant publications on OVD evaluation in amelogenesis imperfecta patients were thoroughly reviewed from the literature published between the years 1994 and 2014: With no restrictions criteria on the language of publications or the types of articles. The search strategy was developed using a combination of controlled vocabulary and the final update was stopped on November 2014.

Results: The exploration of Medline identified, in this systematic review, 20 articles. Only 13 of them were selected after screening. All the studies were case reports describing 14 patients (7 males/7 females, age: from 7 to 45 years old) with amelogenesis imperfecta treated with different approaches. While OVD was modified in 13 cases, there was a lack of information concerning the OVD evaluation and treatment.

Conclusion: According to this study, it seems important to systematically explore OVD in all AI patients, to preferably evaluate it by cephalometric method and to reestablish it by a prosthetic approach.

Introduction

The occlusal vertical dimension (OVD) is defined as the distance between two selected anatomic points when the mandibular teeth are occluding with the maxillary teeth.¹ This OVD, mainly determined by teeth, and could be affected by tooth wear. Fast acting wear could change OVD whereas slow acting wear is unlikely to affect it due to secondary extrusion. Patients with dental abnormalities are more prone to fast acting wear and thus OVD loss. Several methods have been described in the literature to evaluate OVD. These methods include either extraoral considerations such as the evaluation of interocclusal rest space, facial esthetics and temporomandibular joint status, or intraoral considerations such as the remaining tooth structures and occlusion.² However, the multiplicity of these techniques may indicate limited predictability and consistency. Otherwise, therapeutic modifications of OVD should be approached with caution as it has often been considered to interfere with the physiology of the masticatory system as well as esthetics.³

Considering amelogenesis imperfecta (AI) as a kind of dental anomaly, it is important to notice that AI includes a group of hereditary disorders that affect the quality and/or the quantity of enamel in both primary and permanent dentition. Its prevalence vary between 1/700,⁴ and 1/14000,⁵ and according to the Winkop’s classification, revised by Nusier et al.,⁶ there are four main forms of AI: hypoplastic, hypocalcified, hypomatured, and AI with taurodontism. In fact, this disease is known for the structure anomalies of the enamel, but it is also frequently associated with either to dental anomalies such as a reduction of the crown size, agenesis and extensive loss of tooth tissues or with skeletal anomalies and malocclusions such as open bite and cross bite.⁷,⁸ These anomalies are thought to affect OVD and the treatment options should therefore consider this parameter in order to restore functions and esthetics.

The aim of this study was: to review current data into OVD evaluation and its reestablishment during the treatment of patients with Amelogenesis Imperfecta.

Methods

The literature search for relevant articles was performed in MEDLINE database using PubMed.

The search strategy was developed using the terms “Vertical Dimension” [Mesh] and “Amelogenesis Imperfecta” [Mesh]. Since the literature on such broad subjects would be abundant, the review focused on selected combinations of the two search terms: “Vertical Dimension” [Mesh] AND “Amelogenesis Imperfecta” [Mesh]. The final update of the search was on November 2014. Publications considered to present the highest level of evidence, i.e. clinical randomized controlled trials (RCT) and systematic reviews were not available (Table 1).

The exclusion criteria included the date of publication (<1994). There was no restriction criteria on the language of publications or on the type of articles. Only the findings described by the authors in these papers were used. Clinical photographs or radiographs
were not used to include additional findings. Data were extracted by five reviewers independently using pre-established checklist for data extraction. In case of disagreements, consensus was achieved by discussion among the reviewers.

**Results**

1. **Articles selection:** After the search on PubMed, 20 articles were obtained. Considering the exclusion criteria, 13 articles were selected according to the diagram of article selection (Fig. 1). Seven papers were excluded, 3 articles because the date of publication (<1994), 9,10,11 and 4 articles after reading for several reasons as mentioned in Table 2.12,13,14,15 Thirteen papers were selected after screening. 16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28 All the studies were case reports describing one patient except a paper describing two patients.28

2. **Patient’s characteristics (Table 3):** Fourteen patients were described, seven males and seven females. Their age varied from 7 to 45 years old. Only four patients were in mixed dentition while 10 patients were in permanent dentition. Concerning the type of amelogenesis imperfecta, hypoplastic type was described in 7 cases, hypocalcified and hypomaturation type were found in two cases for each one, one case of hypocalcified-hypoplastic, and one case of hypocalcified-hypoplastic-hypomaturation and in one case the type of amelogenesis imperfecta was not specified.

Concerning the general diseases associated with amelogenesis imperfecta, these data were not mentioned in five articles while 6 patients were described as being free of general diseases; Nephrocalcinosis was diagnosed in one patient and two others suffered respectively from leukoderma and thyroid hyperfunction.

The patients’ oral characteristics included dental sensitivity in 6 cases, periodontal diseases in 5 cases, caries in 5 cases, open bite in two cases and cross bite in one case. The loss of vertical dimension was described in 8 cases.

Concerning the methods of OVD evaluation, it was not mentioned in 7 patients,13,14,16,22,24,25 while different methods were used in the others cases: the interocclusal distance was measured clinically and/or phonetically in 6 cases,15,17,18,19,20,21 the esthetic considerations were evaluated in 2 cases,15,18 a cephalometric analysis was conducted in 2 cases,19,21 and a swallowing test was performed for one patient.21

The characteristics of the patients included in the studies are described in Table 3.

3. **Treatment options (Table 4):** Occlusion vertical dimension was not modified in one case,28 while it was modified in thirteen cases as follow: decreased in one case,22 and increased in 12 cases,16,17,18,19,20,21,23,24,25,26,27,28 The treatment approaches were mainly associated with fixed prostheses,16,17,18,19,20,22,24,25,26,28 crown lengthening was described in five cases,16,17,22,24,26 Esthetic veneers were mentioned in 5 cases: one was an hypocalcified type of AI, one was an hypomaturation and 3 cases were hypoplastic type of AI19,22,28 composite resin in 4 cases,16,19,20,23 Despite the fact that these adhesive treatment options should consider the enamel structure, authors did not take into consideration the type of AI and did not mention any unsealing in the follow up.

Occlusal splints were described in 4 cases17,20,24,28 on lay in 2 cases,25,27 and removable denture in one case.21 Neither orthodontic treatments nor inlays were described.

The follow up of the cases was not always mentioned. It varied between 6 months and 5 years. Two criteria of success were used: esthetic improvement and patient satisfaction.

**Table 1:** Numbers of titles listed in Pubmed (November 2014) for various combinations of the terms “Amelogenesis Imperfecta” and “Vertical dimension”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search terms</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Reviews</th>
<th>RCTs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Dimension [Mesh]</td>
<td>4881</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelogenesis Imperfecta [Mesh]</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Vertical Dimension”[Mesh]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AND “Amelogenesis Imperfecta”[Mesh]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Rejected articles and cause of rejection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Cause of rejection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoods-Moonsammy et al., 2012</td>
<td>Narrative study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavlic et al., 2011</td>
<td>The treatment was not described by the authors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luzzi et al., 2003</td>
<td>The OVD was not mentioned in the treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bäckman and Adolfsson, 1994</td>
<td>The treatment was not described by the authors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harley and Ibbetson, 1993</td>
<td>The date (&lt;1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruziewicz-Miklaszewska, 1978</td>
<td>The date (&lt;1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burzynski et al. 1973</td>
<td>The date (&lt;1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No. of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harryparsad et al, 2013</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnetzl and Arnetzl, 2011</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliveira et al, 2011</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al., 2011</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assunção et al., 2009</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadighpour et al., 2009</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yip and Smales, 2003</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Reference</td>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normand de la Tranchade et al, 2003</td>
<td>1 Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sengun and Ozer, 2002</td>
<td>1 Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams and Becker, 2000</td>
<td>1 Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouvier et al., 1999</td>
<td>1 Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson and Schwartz, 1997</td>
<td>1 Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter and Stone, 1997</td>
<td>1 Male</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Treatment options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Unmodified OVD</th>
<th>Modified OVD</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased OVD</td>
<td>Decreased OVD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed prosthesis</td>
<td>28a</td>
<td>16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26, 28b</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veneers</td>
<td>28a</td>
<td>19, 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removable prosthesis</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin composite</td>
<td></td>
<td>16, 18, 19, 23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onlay</td>
<td></td>
<td>23, 27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown lengthening</td>
<td></td>
<td>16, 17, 24, 26</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusal splint</td>
<td></td>
<td>17, 20, 24, 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant</td>
<td></td>
<td>17, 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28a: first patient in the article
28b: second patient in the article

Discussion

Until now and considering the published papers on Medline, no systematic review has addressed the problem of the treatment of AI in terms of OVD.

The exploration of Medline identified 20 articles in this systematic review. Only 13 of them were selected after screening. The limited number of the selected papers could be related to (i) the rarity of the disease, or (ii) the complexity of treatments and the need for a long-term follow-up. Moreover, all the retained papers in this review were case reports. Although we failed to detect any interventional study in the literature, case reports are useful to share information on the patients, the pathology and the treatment in rare diseases such as AI in order to improve the treatment quality or the studies.

In the thirteen papers selected in this review, fourteen patients were described. Fifty percent of them were classified as hypoplastic, 14% as hypocalcified, 14% as hypomatured, 7% hypocalcified-hypoplastic, 7% as hypocalcified-hypomatured and the other cases were not specified. The hypoplastic form of AI is the most common type described in this review confirming previous data in the literature.4 Many authors have associated AI with several types of general diseases as a part of syndromes. These general diseases can affect either the kidney,29 eyes,30 nerves,31 or skin.32 In our study, general diseases were associated with AI in only three cases describing nephrocalcinosis16, dermatologic disorders as leukoderma,19 and thyroid hyperfunction.22 It is not clear from the literature whether the thyroid malfunction could be particularly attributed to a rare case of syndromic AI.

Although AI primarily affects the enamel formation, a variety of clinical implications may also be present, such as caries, attrition, excessive calculus deposition, and gingival hyperplasia.33 In this review, periodontal diseases and caries were described in 5 cases. This could
be related to rough enamel surface and oral hygiene abilities occurring secondary to dental sensitivity.

Open bite malocclusion has been reported to be associated with AI. Indeed, through a clinical study, Ravassipour et al. stated that, among 54 AI patients, 42% of the affected individuals and 12% of the unaffected family members had dental or skeletal open bite malocclusion when compared to a general Caucasian population (5%). The etiology of this association has not been clarified. It could be explained by different factors such as abnormal eruption of posterior teeth, modified genes affecting both the dental and craniofacial developmental processes and environmental factors.34

In another study conducted by Backman and Adolfsson in 1994, 12 comparisons of cephalometric parameters between a group of children and adolescents with AI and a control group showed deviations indicating a skeletal open bite relation in the group with AI. The authors concluded that the vertical discrepancy seemed to accompany the most seriously affected cases of AI. In this review, open bite was described only in 2 cases of hypoplastic AI. This low number of cases with open bite could be due to the limited number of cases in this study and the lack of interest in skeletal diagnosis.

Regarding the dentition type in our study, 10 cases were in permanent dentition and 4 cases in mixed dentition. This could be attributed to the fact that the need to reestablish the vertical dimension of occlusion is more likely to be performed in permanent dentition than in temporary and mixed dentition. Amelogenesis imperfecta is often complicated with reduced vertical dimension and increased interocclusal space due to the loss of tooth structure. Indeed, the loss of OVD was described in 8 cases.

While the methods of OVD evaluation are varied,35,36 some papers have used one or two approaches such as the evaluation of interocclusal distance clinically and/or phonoetically and the esthetic evaluation. Several other papers have not even explained how they evaluated the OVD. Only two articles have described an assessment of OVD via cephalometric analyses.22,24

To assess OVD, none of the proposed techniques have been proved to be scientifically accurate or superior to others.37 Therefore, a cephalometric analysis, despite its imperfections, is considered as a reliable method of OVD diagnosis and a useful tool for the treatment plan.38

Treatment planning for patients with AI is conditioned by many factors such as age, type and severity of disorders. An interdisciplinary approach is necessary to evaluate, diagnose and resolve the esthetic and functional problems using a combination of prosthodontic, orthodontic and restorative treatment.

Regardless of the treatment modality, OVD is an important consideration for the treatment plan setting.

In this review, most cases were treated by modifying the OVD value. The increase was noted in 11 cases, however, in the 2 cases having an open bite, only one was treated with decreased OVD, while the other with increased OVD which was justified by the authors to preserve dental tissues. It should be noted that the increase was performed in order to reestablish the correct OVD in 4 cases. This increase was performed over the correct OVD in 2 cases which was justified by prosthetics reasons; however, it was not specified in 5 cases. The value of the OVD variation was not mentioned in most cases. Yet, it is important to specify this value and to distinguish between the changes in the posterior and anterior levels. We note that this evaluation was neglected in most cases except one,24 in which the conditions and protocol of OVD increase were detailed.

According to Orthlieb,39 it is reasonable to assume that there is an optimal adaptive space concerning OVD and not a fixed point. So, it is possible to change OVD in both directions, increasing and decreasing. The key is to verify that the therapeutic OVD will be in harmony with all the anatomical and neuro-physiological determinants. Indeed, the preservation of an interocclusal space in resting posture and the lack of contact between the dental arches during the phonation are targets of therapeutic OVD. These targets are among others such as esthetics and lip competency.34 These muscular references seem too variable to be reliable. The significant criteria that influence the decision are the following: esthetic and facial heights, skeletal mandibular morphology and typology, overjet and overbite, prosthetic space and TMJ and neuromuscular coordination.

The therapeutic OVD should be validated through a temporary restoration or an occlusal splint. In this review, occlusal splint was used in 4 cases. In only one case, it was used at an increased OVD to assess the patient’s response before starting oral rehabilitation.28 In the other cases, it was used just to protect the ceramic prostheses,15,24 or to perform full-mouth direct composite restorations.20

Regarding the rehabilitation of AI patients, various treatment modalities have been studied thanks to the advance in techniques and to the increased availability of dental materials. In the literature, the use of glass ionomer cements, composite resin veneers, porcelain veneers, stainless steel crowns, and over dentures are described in order to restore function and esthetics.

Most cases in this review were treated by prosthetic rehabilitation such as fixed full coverage prostheses, onlays and overdentures. However, composite resin restorations and cosmetic veneers were used in few cases, probably because of the insufficient bonding between the adhesive product and the enamel in AI reported in the literature.40

In our study, no unsealing was described in the cases treated by composite resin or veneers. This could be due to the scarcity of the cases, the short period of follow up and the lack of clinical randomized controlled trials in this topic.
Conclusion
- Amelogenesis imperfecta (AI) is a genetic disorder that causes defective enamel development resulting in active tooth wear that could affect the vertical dimension of occlusion (OVD).
- The treatment options should consider OVD in order to restore esthetics and function.
- According to our study, it seems to be important to explore OVD systematically in AI for the treatment plan setting.
- Adhesive treatments represent an interesting option for AI patients. However, full coverage restorations may be a more effective method to provide maximal protection against dentinal sensitivity, to improve esthetics and to restore functions.
- Studies of high level of evidence such as clinical randomized controlled trials are needed to better evaluate the efficiency of adhesive treatment with AI patients in the long term.
- It is recommended to:
  - Evaluate OVD with the available methods.
  - Record jaw relationship in the centric relation at the correct OVD.
  - To produce Diagnostic wax up on the mounted study cast.
  - To realize provisional restorations.
  - To review the patient’s adaptability to the new occlusion by the absence of temporo-mandibular joint muscle mastication abnormalities.
  - To finally rehabilitate the patient at the validated OVD.
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