A Histological and Clinical Evaluation of Shallow and Deep Probing Depths
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New and improved diagnostic aids are constantly being developed and deployed to improve our ability to correctly identify, diagnose and treat a variety of medical conditions. Despite several attempts at developing a new gold standard tool for the diagnosis of periodontal disease [1], dental professionals still largely, although not exclusively, rely on the periodontal probe and periodontal probing depth (PD) for the identification and classification of periodontal diseases. PD is commonly used to evaluate the presence or absence of periodontal disease, and PD values are relied on for the determination of periodontal disease severity[2,3]. To these authors’ knowledge, no clinical study has conclusively defined the healthy PD. Generally speaking, in the absence of gingival recession or enlargement, shallow PDs of 1-3mm are considered to be indicative of a healthy or normal periodontal sulcus. Sites with PD values of 4-6mm are considered moderately deep and indicative of early stages of periodontal disease. Ultimately, sites with PD values greater than 7mm are considered as deep sites, typically caused by advanced periodontal disease. As such, clinicians view sites with progressively larger PDs with increasing concern. The aim of this short commentary is to use evidence from histological and clinical studies to discuss the merits of considering a shallow probing depth as healthy and to highlight changes induced by periodontal disease that lead to deepening periodontal pockets.

PD is defined as the distance from the gingival margin to the deepest part of the probable crevice. Historically, the terms PD and pocket depth were used interchangeably. In 1971, Listgarten noted that PD measurements only offer an estimation of the true pocket depth, as the probe tip routinely goes beyond the sulcus and into the attachment apparatus of the tooth [4]. He further suggested that the only way to measure the depth of the anatomical sulcus, or pocket, is through histological means. One of the first studies to examine the physiological attachment around healthy human teeth was carried out in 1961 by Gargiulo et al. In this study, Gargiulo defined the dentogingival complex as the physiological and functional supporting tissue of teeth[5]. Measurements were made along 325 surfaces of presumably healthy teeth in human cadaver jaws. They concluded that the dentogingival junction is composed of the junctional epithelium (formerly the epithelial attachment) and the connective tissue fibrous attachment. In the study, Gargiulo measured the depth of the true gingival sulcus, the length of the junctional epithelium and the length of connective tissue attachment throughout various phases of passive eruption. The study found the average sulcus depth to be 0.69mm (range 0.61mm - 1.71mm). The average length of the junctional epithelium was 0.97mm (range 0.71mm - 1.35mm), while the average length of the connective tissue attachment was 1.07mm (range 1.03mm – 1.07mm) [5](Figure 1). As such, assuming a periodontal probe could precisely measure the depth of a healthy sulcus, we would expect to get measurements ranging from 0.61-1.71mm. However, the anatomic sulcus or pocket depth rarely corresponds to the clinical PD measurement. The periodontal probe routinely goes beyond the sulcus and penetrates the coronal part of the junctional epithelium [6]. Even in periodontal health and in absence of inflammation, the periodontal probe penetrates the junctional epithelium by 0.5mm, stopping 0.4mm coronal to the termination of the junctional epithelium [6]. This increases the expected PD in healthy tissues to 1.11-2.21mm (1.11mm = 0.61mm +0.5mm; 2.21mm = 1.71mm + 0.5mm). Also, seeing that probing depth
measurements are accurate to within 1mm 90% of the time [7], we can expect healthy probing depths to range between 0.11mm-3.21mm (0.11 mm = 1.11mm + 0.21 mm ; 3.21 mm = 2.21 mm + 1 mm) or simply up to 3mm(Figure 2A).

To understand why PD values increase with periodontal disease progression, one has to examine the pathophysiology of gingivitis and periodontitis. Gingivitis is defined by the presence of gingival inflammation without the loss of periodontal attachment [8]. Histologically, gingivitis is characterized by an increase in blood flow, an influx of inflammatory cells and breakdown of perivascular connective tissue (Figure 2B)[9]. All together, these changes lead to clinical features consisting of edematous, erythematous and friable gingival tissues that typically extend coronal to the cementum-enamel junction (CEJ) and readily bleed upon probing. Even without periodontal attachment loss, clinical PD values are increased in gingivitis when compared to clinically healthy sites. The increased PD during gingivitis is explained partly by the gingival enlargement and partly by increased penetration of the periodontal probe in inflamed tissues. Studies have shown that the tip of a periodontal probe penetrates the full length of the junctional epithelium, stopping 0.1mm to the apical termination of the junctional epithelium in an inflamed site[6], compared to 0.4mm in healthy periodontium as discussed above. Together, these two factors explain why increased PD values are often associated with gingivitis in the absence of concurrent loss of periodontal attachment or loss of alveolar bone.

Unlike gingivitis, periodontitis is characterized by the pathological loss of collagen fibers, apical migration of the junctional epithelium, loss of alveolar bone and periodontal attachment (Figure 2C). Histologic studies of the progression of periodontitis have shown that early periodontal lesions are initially localized to the gingival sulcus and later progress to the periodontium proper, the periodontal ligament, cementum and alveolar bone. Histological studies in more advanced periodontal disease have shown that during probing, the probe tip penetrates the full length of the junctional epithelium and extends deep into the connective tissue attachment[6,10], resulting in progressively increased probing depth proportional to the degree of attachment loss. For this reason, deep PDs are seen in tissues undergoing periodontal breakdown due to the concurrent apical migration of the junctional epithelium, the inflamed nature of the connective tissues and the loss of alveolar bone.

Clinical studies routinely classify pockets into three groups: 1-3mm, 4-6mm and 7mm and greater [11-13].The reason for this common classification system is twofold. Firstly, this classification system stratifies diseased sites and helps determine the aggressiveness of treatment including the need for surgical periodontal therapy [14]. Secondly, the shallow, moderate and deep stratification system allows clinicians to determine the expected outcome of treatment. Clinicians are often less concerned with shallow pockets, as shallow pockets are more amendable to routine oral hygiene at home and are easier to maintain under professional care. Shallow pockets are more likely to be adequately cleansed by manual tooth brushing, which penetrate 0.9mm below the gingival margin [15]. Likewise, self-administered oral hygiene routines can remove interproximal plaque up to 2.5mm subgingivally [16]. Also, when receiving professional prophylaxis and scaling and root planing (SRP), Brayer et al. found that even inexperienced practitioners can adequately cleanse shallow pockets[17]. These shallow pockets are compatible with good health and function for many years [18]. While SRP is less efficient at removing the etiological agents that cause disease progression in deeper sites, it can effectively remove plaque and calculus up to 3.73mm subgingivally. Deeper pockets (> 5mm), however, are rarely free of plaque and calculus following SRP [19].

Longitudinal studies comparing surgical and nonsurgical therapy have validated the need for surgical therapy when faced with deep (>7mm) PDs [13,20]. For pockets greater than or equal to 7mm, surgical periodontal intervention resulted in significantly greater reduction in probing depth than SRP alone. This can be attributed to increased access, direct visualization and correction of unfavorable osseous architecture during periodontal surgery. In 1982, Lindhe described the concept as the critical probing depth (CPD) [21]. CPD is defined as the threshold PD where gain of clinical attachment can be expected following treatment. Lindhe found that the CPD for SRP was 2.9mm, while the CPD of surgical therapy (Modified Widmann Flap) was 4.2mm. Together, these results indicate that shallow pockets < 5mm can be adequately maintained without further loss of attachment by scaling alone, while promoting the use of surgical therapy in sites with deeper initial PDs. Periodontal probing is not a flawless diagnostic instrument and should not be used in isolation. A high PD value alone does not imply active disease nor disease progression[22]. Variations in PD can be introduced by probing force, probe angulation, probe thickness, probe type as well as tooth site and local anatomy [3]. Further, intra- and inter-clinician variability and reproducibility in PD have been reported [7,23]. In addition, the depth of probe penetration is directly proportional to the degree of inflammation [24-26]. In fact, rather than simply measuring PD, a better indicator of periodontal disease severity is clinical attachment level (CAL). CAL, which is calculated by summing PD and recession, more accurately estimates loss of periodontal attachment as a result of periodontal
disease [27]. Nonetheless, periodontal probing provides clinicians with a useful estimate of the location of the most coronal insertion of the intact connective tissue fibers and thereby verifying the presence or absence of periodontal disease.

Gingival enlargement in combination with increased periodontal probe penetration result in increased PD in tissues suffering from gingivitis. Typically, in tissues affected by gingivitis, the tip of a periodontal probe penetrates the full length of the junctional epithelium and enters the connective tissue attachment, resulting in probing depths of ≥4mm.

C) Periodontitis is characterized by the pathological loss of collagen fibers, apical migration of the junctional epithelium, loss of alveolar bone, and periodontal attachment. In advanced periodontal disease, the probe tip penetrates the full length of the junctional epithelium and extends deep into the connective tissue attachment; the more severe the periodontal attachment loss, the greater the corresponding probing depths. Inspiration for image comes from the Color Atlas of Dental Medicine Periodontology, 3rd Edition, and was kindly illustrated by Ms. Gretchen Kramer.
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